

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,740	09/28/2001	Christopher D. Batich	QMT-IRIA	4440
3775 FLMAN TECH	7590 02/12/2007 HNOLOGY LAW, P.C.	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 209			ANDERSON, CATHARINE L	
SWARTHMORE, PA 19081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			· 3761	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/965,740	BATICH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		C. Lynne Anderson	3761				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It is timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	ovember 2006.					
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-17,19-31,33-46 and 51-69</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-17,19-31,33-46 and 51-69</u> is/are	e rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. `					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	· .						
Attachment(s)							
	1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🛛 Infor	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/7/06. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
, ape		٠/ <u>ــــ</u> - ٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠٠					

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7 November 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-2, 5-6, 8-14, 16-17, 20-28, 30-31, 34, 36-42, 51-53, 57-60, 64-66, and 68-69 under Perrault et al. (6,039,940) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Swanson (5,783,502).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 5-14, 30-31, 33-42, 51-53, 57-58, 66, and 68-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swanson (5,783,502).

With respect to claims 1, 30, and 51, Swanson discloses a material capable of absorbing biological fluids comprising a flexible substrate and an enhanced surface, as disclosed in column 1, lines 9-15. The enhanced surface comprises a polymer of monomeric moieties comprising a quaternary ammonium, as disclosed in column 5, lines 34-53. The polymer is covalently bonded to the flexible substrate, as disclosed in column 2, lines 45-48, and is therefore non-hydrolyzable and non-leachable.

With respect to claims 2 and 31, the monomeric moieties comprise a quaternary ammonium, as disclosed in column 5, lines 34-53.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claim 5, the polymer is completely polymerized, and therefore has a degree of polymerization of 100.

With respect to claims 6 and 34, the material comprises part of a wound dressing, sponge, or surgical gown, as disclosed in column 1, lines 9-10.

With respect to claims 7 and 35, the flexible substrate is naturally derived, as disclosed in column 4, lines 16-17.

With respect to claims 8 and 36, the flexible substrate is synthetic, as disclosed in column 4, lines 16-17.

With respect to claims 9 and 37, the polymer is bonded to the flexible substrate by an ether linkage, as disclosed in the table on column 9, and column 9, lines 7-8.

With respect to claim 10, the claim is drawn to the final product of the absorbent material. The method of forming the covalent bond between the substrate and polymer is considered a product-by-process limitation. Therefore, the claim is anticipated by the absorbent material of Swanson that exhibits all the structural limitations of the final product.

With respect to claims 11 and 39, the polymer is formed from vinyl-containing monomers, as disclosed in column 5, lines 53-55.

With respect to claims 12 and 40, the monomers are ammonium salts, as disclosed in column 5, lines 57-62.

With respect to claims 13 and 41, the monomers are methacrylamides, as disclosed in column 5, lines 57-62.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claims 14 and 42, the monomers are vinyl pyridine derivatives, as disclosed in column 5, lines 53-55.

With respect to claims 52 and 66, the monomeric moieties are bound by covalent bonds comprising carbon-carbon, carbon-oxygen, and carbon-nitrogen bonds, as shown in column 5.

With respect to claims 53, 58, 68, and 69, the flexible substrate may be woven or nonwoven, as disclosed in column 4, lines 10-25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swanson (5,783,502) in view of Mao (6,346,125).

Swanson discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the moieties comprising a biguanide. Mao discloses a material for absorbing fluids comprising a flexible substrate having an enhanced area comprising a polymer of antimicrobial monomeric moieties, as disclosed in column 1, lines 5-8. The flexible substrate comprises a nonwoven fabric of cellulose or synthetic fibers, as disclosed in column 9, lines 1-9. The antimicrobial may be a biguanide, as disclosed in column 4, lines 42-46. The treatment of the substrate with a quaternary compound or biguanide provides the fabric with improved inhibition of microorganisms and odors, as disclosed

Art Unit: 3761

in column 9, lines 20-24. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to treat the flexible substrate of Swanson with a biguanide, as taught by Mao, to provide the fabric with improved inhibition of microorganisms and odors.

Claims 15, 43-46, 54, and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swanson (5,783,502) in view of Kolb et al. (6,797,856).

Swanson fails to disclose dimethyldiallylammonium chloride (DADMAC). Kolb teaches the use of quaternary ammonium and DADMAC as equivalent compounds in the treatment of an absorbent material for antimicrobial purposes, as disclosed in column 6, lines 16-33. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to treat the flexible substrate of Swanson with dimethyldiallylammonium chloride, as taught by Kolb, since it is functionally equivalent to quaternary ammonium.

With respect to claims 44-46, the flexible substrate of Swanson comprises cellulose, a wood fiber, or synthetic polymers, as disclosed in column 4, lines 10-25.

Claims 16-17, 20-28, 59-60, and 64-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swanson (5,783,502) in view of Baker (5,643,238).

Swanson discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of superabsorbent material capable of absorbing at least 30 times its weight of water.

Swanson discloses in column 5, lines 53-55, the polymer is polyacrylamide. Baker

Art Unit: 3761

discloses in column 6, lines 29-34, that polyacrylamide is a superabsorbent polymer. Swanson, as evidenced by Baker, therefore discloses a superabsorbent material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the superabsorbent material of Swanson capable of absorbing at least 30 times its weight in water, since it has been held that where the general conditions (i.e. absorption of body fluids by a sponge or drape) of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, finding the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

With respect to claim 17, the monomeric moieties comprise a quaternary ammonium, as disclosed in column 5, lines 34-53.

With respect to claim 20, the material comprises part of a wound dressing, sponge, or surgical gown, as disclosed in column 1, lines 9-10.

With respect to claim 21, the flexible substrate is naturally derived, as disclosed in column 4, lines 16-17.

With respect to claim 22, the flexible substrate is synthetic, as disclosed in column 4, lines 16-17.

With respect to claim 23, the polymer is bonded to the flexible substrate by an ether linkage, as disclosed in the table on column 9, and column 9, lines 7-8.

With respect to claim 24, the claim is drawn to the final product of the absorbent material. The method of forming the covalent bond between the substrate and polymer is considered a product-by-process limitation. Therefore, the claim is anticipated by the

Art Unit: 3761

absorbent material of Swanson that exhibits all the structural limitations of the final product.

With respect to claim 25, the polymer is formed from vinyl-containing monomers, as disclosed in column 5, lines 53-55.

With respect to claim 26, the monomers are ammonium salts, as disclosed in column 5, lines 57-62.

With respect to claim 27, the monomers are methacrylamides, as disclosed in column 5, lines 57-62.

With respect to claim 28, the monomers are vinyl pyridine derivatives, as disclosed in column 5, lines 53-55.

With respect to claim 59, the monomeric moieties are bound by covalent bonds comprising carbon-carbon, carbon-oxygen, and carbon-nitrogen bonds, as shown in column 5.

With respect to claims 60 and 65, the flexible substrate may be woven or nonwoven, as disclosed in column 4, lines 10-25.

With respect to claim 64, the flexible substrate is nylon or polyester, as disclosed in column 4, lines 15-18.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swanson (5,783,502) in view of Baker (5,643,238), as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Mao (6,346,125).

Art Unit: 3761

Swanson discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the moieties comprising a biguanide. Mao discloses a material for absorbing fluids comprising a flexible substrate having an enhanced area comprising a polymer of antimicrobial monomeric moieties, as disclosed in column 1, lines 5-8. The flexible substrate comprises a nonwoven fabric of cellulose or synthetic fibers, as disclosed in column 9, lines 1-9. The antimicrobial may be a biguanide, as disclosed in column 4, lines 42-46. The treatment of the substrate with a quaternary compound or biguanide provides the fabric with improved inhibition of microorganisms and odors, as disclosed in column 9, lines 20-24. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to treat the flexible substrate of Swanson with a biguanide, as taught by Mao, to provide the fabric with improved inhibition of microorganisms and odors.

Claims 29 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swanson (5,783,502) in view of Baker (5,643,238), as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Kolb et al. (6,797,856).

Swanson fails to disclose dimethyldiallylammonium chloride (DADMAC). Kolb teaches the use of quaternary ammonium and DADMAC as equivalent compounds in the treatment of an absorbent material for antimicrobial purposes, as disclosed in column 6, lines 16-33. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to treat the flexible substrate of Swanson with

Art Unit: 3761

dimethyldiallylammonium chloride, as taught by Kolb, since it is functionally equivalent to quaternary ammonium.

Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swanson (5,783,502) in view of Faries, Jr., et al (5,816,252).

Swanson discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of an indicator. Faries teaches the use of an indicator in a surgical drape to alert to the presence of leaks, as disclosed in column 2, line 65 to column 3, line 3. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the material of Swanson with an indicator, as taught by Faries, to alert to the presence of leaks.

Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swanson (5,783,502).

Swanson discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of a hemostatic agent. The use of hemostatic agents in would dressings to inhibit bleeding are well known in the art. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the material of Swanson with a hemostatic agent to inhibit bleeding.

Art Unit: 3761

Claim 62 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swanson (5,783,502) in view of Baker (5,643,238), as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Faries, Jr., et al (5,816,252).

Swanson discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of an indicator. Faries teaches the use of an indicator in a surgical drape to alert to the presence of leaks, as disclosed in column 2, line 65 to column 3, line 3. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the material of Swanson with an indicator, as taught by Faries, to alert to the presence of leaks.

Claim 63 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swanson (5,783,502) in view of Baker (5,643,238), as applied to claim 16 above.

Swanson discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of a hemostatic agent. The use of hemostatic agents in would dressings to inhibit bleeding are well known in the art. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the material of Swanson with a hemostatic agent to inhibit bleeding.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 3761

Page 11

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CM

cla

January 26, 2007

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA PRIMARY EXAMINER